

Audubon Elementary School

School Improvement Plan

Annual Update: 2020-21

This school improvement plan meets the requirements of WAC 180-16-220 and WAC 180-105-020.

SCHOOL OVERVIEW

Description: Audubon Elementary is a professional learning community dedicated to the success of every student. We understand our collective responsibility for the children in our care. We monitor progress regularly to ensure high levels of learning. Using Common Core and District Power Standards as our guide, we manage our resources to aid each child so that all can excel. Audubon is an award-winning school that continues a commitment to excellence by personalizing the school experience and fostering growth for every student. Our PTSA promotes a strong sense of community and connectedness. We expect our students to be responsible, respectful, safe and to give their best effort each day.

Mission Statement: It is our mission to inspire all students to develop their potential, become life-long learners and be contributing members of our community. Our vision is for each student to have the skills, attitudes, and knowledge to be a: self-directed learner, effective communicator, complex thinker, collaborative worker, community contributor, quality producer, confident child and reflective student.

Demographics:1

		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Student Enrollment (count)		596	598	603	558
Racial	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Diversity (%)	Asian	49.3	51.5	52.1	50.2
	Black/African American	0.7	1.0	0.5	0.0
	Hispanic/Latino of any race(s)	6.0	5.0	5.6	5.7
	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Two or more races	5.5	4.7	5.0	5.6
	White	38.4	37.8	36.8	38.5
Students Eligib	le for Free/Reduced Price Meals (%)	3.4	3.5	4.0	3.4
Students Receiving Special Education Services (%)		8.4	8.5	10.0	9.1
English Language Learners (%)		25.3	25.4	27.7	27.1
Students with	a First Language Other Than English (%)	56.9	60.4	60.4	61.4

¹Enrollment and racial diversity based on annual October 1 headcount and includes Preschool-Gr 5 enrollment. Students included in program count (FRL, SpEd, EL) if enrolled on October 1 and receiving services at any time during that school year.

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: LITERACY

READING: By Grade Level, DIBELS Assessment²

Grade	Percent at or above standard						
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20			
Kindergarten	86	87	83	n/a			
1 st Grade	85	90	94	n/a			
2 nd Grade	87	90	88	n/a			

READING: By Group/Program, DIBELS Assessment³

READING: By CIC	· · · ·					
Group/Program	Percent at or above standard					
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
Asian	88	92	92	n/a		
Black/African	-	-	-	n/a		
American						
Hispanic/Latino	62	71	61	n/a		
Two or more races	-	93	86	n/a		
White	84	87	89	n/a		
English Learner	76	78	79	n/a		
Low Income	-	-	57	n/a		
Special Education	61	55	60	n/a		

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: MATH

MATH: By Grade Level, Smarter Balanced Assessment

Grade	Percent at or above standard						
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20			
3 rd Grade	82	92	78	n/a			
4 th Grade	88	79	91	n/a			
5 th Grade	86	82	78	n/a			

MATH: By Group/Program, Smarter Balanced Assessment⁵

Group/Program	Percent at or above standard					
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
Asian	92	90	91	n/a		
Black/African American	-	-	-	n/a		
Hispanic/Latino	60	62	31	n/a		
Two or more races	91	88	70	n/a		
White	82	83	82	n/a		
English Learner	68	74	56	n/a		
Low Income	-	25	-	n/a		
Special Education	41	48	20	n/a		

² Based on DIBELS Next Assessment, End-of-Year Benchmark.

ELA: By Grade Level, Smarter Balanced Assessment

Grade		Percent at or above standard				
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
3 rd Grade	82	86	81	n/a		
4 th Grade	82	81	91	n/a		
5 th Grade	88	86	79	n/a		

ELA: By Group/Program, Smarter Balanced Assessment⁴

ELA. by Group/Program, Smarter balanced Assessment							
Group/Program	Percent at or above standard						
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20			
Asian	87	86	87	n/a			
Black/African	-	-	-	n/a			
American							
Hispanic/Latino	73	77	54	n/a			
Two or more races	87	82	80	n/a			
White	82	85	85	n/a			
English Learner	48	52	39	n/a			
Low Income	ı	58	-	n/a			
Special Education	41	63	33	n/a			

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: SCIENCE

SCIENCE: By Grade Level, WCAS⁵

Grade	Percent at or above standard					
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
5 th Grade	n/a	90	78	n/a		

SCIENCE: By Group/Program, WCAS

Group/Program	Percent at or above standard					
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
Asian	n/a	94	81	n/a		
Black/African American	n/a	-	-	n/a		
Hispanic/Latino	n/a	-	-	n/a		
Two or more races	n/a	-	-	n/a		
White	n/a	97	83	n/a		
English Learner	n/a	-	-	n/a		
Low Income	n/a	-	-	n/a		
Special Education	n/a	50	25	n/a		

= cohort track n/a = not available

³ Grades K-2 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons. "American Indian/Alaskan Native" and "Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander" not included in report due to fewer than 10 students in all categories.

⁴ Grades 3-5 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons.

⁵ WCAS = Washington Comprehensive Assessment of Science. Given only to 5th grade at the elementary level. Assessment first given in 2017-18.

ATTENDANCE DATA

ATTENDANCE: By Grade

	,						
Grade	Percent avoiding chronic absenteeism						
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20			
Kindergarten	82	80	80	n/a			
1 st Grade	88	90	4 87	n/a			
	_						
2 nd Grade	88	89	91	n/a			
3 rd Grade	88	89	90	n/a			
4 th Grade	89	93	90	n/a			
5 th Grade	93	96	96	n/a			

ATTENDANCE: By Group/Program⁶

	,, -	6				
Group/Program	Percent avoiding chronic absenteeism					
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20		
Asian	87	86	87	n/a		
Black/African American		-	-	n/a		
Hispanic/Latino	86	90	76	n/a		
Two or more races	94	97	90	n/a		
White	89	92	92	n/a		
English Learner	83	84	84	n/a		
Low Income	75	79	64	n/a		
Special Education	86	90	82	n/a		

WASHINGTON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK (WSIF) DATA

MOST RECENT WSIF 3-YEAR SUMMARY⁷

	All	Asian	Black/	Hispanic/	Two or	White	English	Low	Students
	Students		African	Latino	more		Language	income	with
			American		races		Learners		disabilities
ELA Proficiency Rate (%)	86	89	-	67	85	85	48	42	48
Math Proficiency Rate (%)	85	92	-	56	87	83	64	29	38
ELA Median Student Growth Percentile ⁸	61.5	68.5	-	52.5	50	58.5	1	1	39
Math Median Student Growth Percentile	64	72.5	-	57	56	58.5	72.5	-	42
EL Progress Rate (%)	90	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Regular Attendance Rate (%)	90	88	-	92	94	92	87	80	87

= cohort track n/a = not available

⁶ Grades K-5 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons.

⁷ Washington School Improvement Framework measures compile data across three years (2017-2019) and include both the general education assessment (Smarter Balanced assessments) and the alternative assessment for student with severe cognitive disabilities (WA-AIM). OSPI suppression rules apply to some data marked as "-" and not displayed due to privacy reasons.

⁸ Median Student Growth Percentile is calculated by ordering individual student growth percentiles from lowest to highest and identifying the middle score. Washington State defines an SGP of 1-33 as low, 34-66 as typical, and 67-99 as high.

CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES

Our target is that all students and student groups are improving, with all gaps closing, each year. The following priorities have been set to guide us in achieving this.

	Priority #1	
Priority Area	English Language Arts/Literacy	
Focus Area	Writing-Evidence and Elaboration Anchor Standards: CCSS ELA-LITER LITERACY.CCRAW.9	ACY.CCRAW.1 and CCSS ELA-
Focus Grade Level(s)	K- 5	
Desired Outcome	Students in 3 rd thru 5 th grade will sho ELA Performance Task as measured Assessment administered in the Sprin	v
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Innovative Learning Opportunities	
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	•	Cask Data student average scores have e-year period. With significant decrease evidence and Elaboration.
Strategy to Address Priority	Action	Measure of Fidelity of Implementation
	Kindergarten: Kindergarten students practice listening comprehension skills with the use of close reading strategies, recall questions, making predictions and making connections. Students have been working on decoding strategies in reading and sounding out words independently in their writing. Students are developing an understanding of the differences between informational, opinion and narrative writing genres.	Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment.
	First Grade: Students to be able to explain details in their own words, support facts by reading and finding key details. Practice highlighting key details in the text. Details in their own words to support the main idea of a story or a problem. Second Grade: Technology Project - famous landmarks focus on the evidence and elaboration on the facts they find	Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment. Coordination of strategies between 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , and Intervention Services.

		Consideration Descrit
		Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment.
	Third Grade:	Coordination of strategies between 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , and Intervention
	Third Grade: Connected with second grade (tech project with	Services.
	animals)Organize ideas using a graphic organizer	Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment.
	• RACE strategy (E = elaboration piece) "this provesbecause"	
	Fourth Grade: R.A.C.E. strategy with elaboration.	Coordination of strategies between 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , and Intervention Services.
		Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment.
	Fifth Grade: Graphic Organizer "the claim is" "the evidence shows" Integrating with science and social studies.	Coordination of strategies between 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , and Intervention Services.
	and social studies.	Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment.
	Intervention Services: • R.A.C.E.	Coordination of strategies between 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , and Intervention
	 R.A.C.E. Vocabulary and word lists being used to help students integrate new words into their writing and evidence. 	Services. Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment.
	Safety Net – focusing on illustrating the problems, drawing a picture, and underlining key words and phrases.	
Timeline for Focus	Fall, 2019 - Spring, 2022	
Method(s) to Monitor Progress	Cross Grade Level Discussion usin Regular Progress Review from tea Data Analysis Team.	ng Comparable Data Points. chers and Overall Progress Review by
	CIP Goal was based on a multiple	sessments and rubrics to track goals - year trend — so having a CIP goal that resting to see the change that is made.

	Priority #2	
Priority Area	Mathematics	
Focus Area	Problem Solving/Data Analysis Standards for Mathematical Practice: Make sense of problems and persever	
Focus Grade Level(s)	K - 5	
Desired Outcome	Students in 3 rd thru 5 th grade will imp more students exceeding standard as Assessment in the sub area of Probler in the Spring of 2020, 2021, and 2022	measured by the Smarter Balanced n Solving/Data Analysis administered
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Innovative Learning Opportunities	
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	Over a four-year period, our SBA scor standards in the sub score area of Pro 70% exceeding down to 60%.	es of students meeting or exceeding oblem Solving/ Data Analysis fell from
Strategy to Address Priority	Kindergarten: Kindergarten students have been focusing on data analysis through reading graphs, making graphs and comparing data. We have been focusing on math vocabulary such as more, greater, less, fewer and equal. Problem solving strategies are currently being taught during our addition and subtraction units. First Grade: Students to be able to explain details in their own words, support facts by reading and finding key details. Practice highlighting key details in the text. Details in their own words to support the main idea of a problem. Second Grade: Technology Project - famous landmarks focus on the evidence and elaboration on the facts they find. Math - math word problems using the CUBES strategy - reading step	Curriculum Based Assessment Grade Level Designed Assessment Grade Level Designed Assessment Grade Level Designed Assessment Grade Level Designed Assessment • Vertical articulation between second and third grade. • Formally introduced to CUBES in second grade, third grade it continues, fourth grade focuses on math action words, and fifth grade continues to use CUBES
	by step problems.	 Curriculum Based Assessment Grade Level Designed Assessment

Timeline for Focus	Third Grade: Math Problem Solving – CUBES and problem- solving packets using deductive reasoning. Use multiple tools to persevere through a word problem (CUBES, drawings, models, manipulatives, ask self-questions). Fourth Grade: R.A.C.E. strategy with elaboration. Math vocabulary - what words mean and what they're asking the students to do. Fifth Grade: Math - CUBES strategy. At the beginning of each topic, we introduce vocabulary first and make sure that they're using it. Intervention Services: Safety Net – focusing on illustrating the problems, drawing a picture, and underlining key words and phrases. *Formally introduced to CUBES in second grade, third grade it continues, fourth grade focuses on math action words, and fifth grade continues to use CUBES.	Vertical articulation between second and third grade. Formally introduced to CUBES in second grade, third grade it continues, fourth grade focuses on math action words, and fifth grade continues to use CUBES. Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment. Formally introduced to CUBES in second grade, third grade it continues, fourth grade focuses on math action words, and fifth grade continues to use CUBES. Curriculum Based Assessment. Grade Level Designed Assessment. Problem Solving/Data Analysis — Envision end of topic assessments problem solving questions as well as the problem-solving questions throughout the Envision curriculum as well as the CDSA. Gone from 70% to 60%. Individual Student/Group Intervention
Method(s) to Monitor		ision end of topic assessments problem
Progress	solving questions as well as the proble Envision curriculum as well as the CI	

	Priority #3	
Priority Area	Social and Emotional	
Focus Area	Pulling yourself out of bad mood and	clearly describing feelings.
Focus Grade Level(s)	3-5	
Desired Outcome	Social Awareness-Question 4 (Able to 56% to over 70% of students as meas the Fall of 2019 and Spring of 2021.	e the number of favorable responses to e to pull yourself out of a bad move) measured by the Panorama Survey
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Multi-Tiered Systems of Support - Bo Support (MTSS-B)	ehavioral, Social and Emotional
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	Currently have 48% of students responding favorably to pulling themselves out of a bad mood; 56% responding favorably to being able to clearly describe their feelings.	
Strategy to Address Priority	Action	Measure of Fidelity of Implementation
	Data Hounds Team tasked with monitoring of school-wide data. Team formed that includes principal, associate principal and counselor to review behavior communication data. Sharing of team data with stakeholders.	Quarterly meetings and notes. Monthly meeting. Findings shared monthly with classified staff, and certificated
	Problem solving session. Panorama Survey Resources	staff. Classified staff to engage in a 15— 20-minute problem solving/scenario session with certificated staff at LEAP professional learning sessions. Lesson resources based on
	shared with grade level teams.	Panorama Survey results shared with grade level teams by the counselor.
Timeline for Focus	Fall, 2019 - Spring, 2021	
Method(s) to Monitor Progress	Fall and Spring Panorama Survey	

	Priority #4	
Priority Area	High Levels of Collaboration and Com	nmunication
Focus Area	Nine Characteristics Survey Question getting the help they need to improve	
Focus Grade Level(s)	K-5 staff	
Desired Outcome	On questions 42 and 47 of the Nine Co will increase the number of Agree Con 100% Agree Completely/Mostly as me Survey administered in the Spring of	mpletely/Mostly responses from 85% to assured by the Nine Characteristics
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Professional Learning	
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	Question 47 15% of staff slightly agre slightly agree or disagree.	e or disagree. Question 42 15% of staff
Strategy to Address Priority	Action	Measure of Fidelity of Implementation
	Staff development to have common definition of 'feedback.'	PGE Goals will include Danielson focus area determined by each staff member in collaboration with building administration.
	Feedback 'plan' to be part of PGE goals discussion.	 Comprehensive teachers: At observation pre- and post-conference. Focused teachers: At goal setting and summary conference. Classified Staff: As part of classified staff meetings.
	Professional development 'plan' to be part of PGE goals discussion.	 Comprehensive teachers: At observation pre- and post-conference. Focused teachers: At goal setting and summary conference.
Timeline for Focus	Fall, 2019 - Spring, 2021	
Method(s) to Monitor Progress	Nine Characteristics Survey	

TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION PLAN

The Washington Basic Education Act requires schools to "integrate technology literacy and fluency" in their curriculum. The updated K-12 Educational Technology Learning Standards emphasize the ways technology can be used to amplify and transform learning and teaching.

The Technology Integration Facilitator Program (TIF) and Building Instructional Technology Plan (BIT) provide the structure and funding to support this requirement.

The goals of the TIF program are to support teachers in effectively:

- 1. Integrating the use of core instructional technologies within teaching and learning.
- 2. Utilizing digital tools to enhance the learning process for all students in all classrooms.
- 3. Understanding and applying the Educational Technology Learning Standards across content areas.
- 4. Embedding digital citizenship and media literacy within instruction.

Building administrators work with their Technology Integration Facilitator (TIF) to identify needs based on the TIF program goals and develop the BIT Plan to meet those needs. Beginning and end of year survey data informs the personalization of individual school plans.

Based on Fall data, strategic implementations and OSPI requirements, the BIT Plan will focus on the following:

⊠Digital Citizenship
□Integrating core instructional technologies
☐Utilizing digital tools to enhance learning
□Applying Ed Tech Learning Standards
□Embedding digital citizenship & media literacy

STATE ASSESSMENT PARTICIPATION

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires that all schools meet at least a 95% participation rate for state assessments for all students as well as each subgroup. Schools that fall below this threshold in any group must include goals and actions the school will take to ensure 95% of students participate. The latest participation rate that has been published by OSPI for the school was for state testing in spring 2018. During that year, the participation rate was not met for ELA and met for mathematics.

Strategies the school is using to meet participation requirements include:

- Common language on the importance of state testing is used by all schools in the district.
- Staff receive training on the administration of state assessments, including the use of supports and accommodations to ensure all students have an equal opportunity to demonstrate learning.
- Make-up testing is provided for students that miss the school's date.
- Test completion lists are monitored by both school testing coordinators and district personnel.
- The district is using the recommended refusal procedures and form developed by the Washington Educational Research Association.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PLAN

As a district of doers, learners, and believers, our "why" drives us. We do this all-important work because we want all of our students to have equitable and quality experiences in the Lake Washington School District in order to ensure that they get to choose their futures instead of their circumstances choosing them.

Research has consistently shown that family and community engagement is key to increasing the academic success and positive connections that students have at school, especially students from groups that are demographically under-represented or those historically marginalized. Therefore, it is imperative that we consistently plan and implement strategies to engage our families and school communities in authentic and culturally appropriate approaches.

To ensure that families have the support that they need to assist their children, OSPI requires that school districts have a family engagement policy in place that applies to all families. The specific strategy our school is using to involve and inform the community of the School Improvement Plan is as follows:

Strategy to Engage	Action	Timeline
Students, Families,	PTSA President Meetings	Monthly from August 2020-June
Parents and		2021
Community Members in the development of the	PTSA Executive Committee Meeting	December 2020
SIP		
Strategy to Inform	Action	Timeline
Students, Families,	Action Principals and PTSA	Timeline October 2020, November 2020,

⁹ LWSD's policy is found at: https://www.lwsd.org/about-us/policy-and-regulations/school-community-relations-goals-ka-r